

Early Childhood Community of Practice: Launch Meeting - Main Themes

December 7, 2022

Main Themes

Since the PUF funding model changed in 2019, service providers have observed:

- 1. Less children receiving services, some not receiving any (mild/moderate)
 - Seeing the impact in ECS/grade one children lack basic school readiness skills (permanent learning challenges)
 - 1.2. Children are older when services are initiated
 - 1.3. WMA is disincentive for agencies to increase capacity
- 2. Less supports for children who do receive services
 - 2.1. Less aide time (funding makes it difficult to provide 1:1 support)
 - 2.2. Less time for Common Approach and therefore less collaboration between home and school
 - 2.3. Interdisciplinary supports have significantly declined
 - 2.4. Less opportunity to individualize programming to meet child/family needs
 - 2.5. Some families opting to pay privately for service (two tiered system)
- 3. Funding makes is difficult to deliver services in inclusive settings
 - 3.1. Move towards congregated models
- 4. Services Providers asked to do more
 - 4.1. SLPs have become the "dumping ground" and are being asked to practice outside of their scope, risking burnout
 - 4.2. Educational Assistants are being asked to do more and burning out
 - 4.3. Expertise is leaving the field
 - 4.4. Agencies have closed
- 5. Diagnosis
 - 5.1. Waitlists/capacity issues result in children being diagnosed later
 - 5.2. Health and education systems are not working together to facilitate diagnostic assessments
- 6. Indigenous children
 - 6.1. Gaps in services for children on reserves



6.2. Jordan's Principle is not protecting children

What has been done and what do people want to see:

- 1. Significant systemic change is required
 - 1.1. Complete review and restructuring of FSCD and PUF
 - 1.2. Bill that mandates services for children with disabilities
- 2. Communication/collaboration
 - 2.1. A mechanism like Regional Coordinated Service Delivery to facilitate communication and collaboration
 - 2.2. Clarify why RCSD was dismantled
 - 2.3. Inter-ministerial collaboration (Health, Education and Seniors, Community and Social Services)
 - 2.4. Lobby at the provincial level
- 3. Mandated cap on caseloads
- 4. Focused and coordinated advocacy
 - 4.1. SLP advocate hired by SAC Karen Sevcik
 - 4.2. Autism Edmonton has hired an advocate
 - 4.3. Alberta's Autism Alliance
- 5. Indigenous children
 - 5.1. Allow communities to decide if they want to manage educational programs or if they want to province to
 - 5.2. Equitable funding
- 6. Diagnostic evaluations
 - 6.1. Build capacity more funding/services for outside major centers
 - 6.2. Reduce age of diagnosis
- 7. Increase number of PUF spots to meet community need

Ideas for capturing data (qualitative and quantitative)

- 1. Gather family stories (how funding cuts have impacts Alberta children and families)
- 2. Number of SLS referrals in Kindergarten
- 3. Staff attrition rates (pre/post funding model change)
- 4. Caseload sizes (pre/post funding model change)
- 5. Ask programs how funding changes impacted their ability to provide inclusive model
- 6. Look at age of diagnosis/age services initiated
- 7. Look at number of PUF spots available